IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

FRIDAY, THE 7TH DECEMBER 2007 / 16TH AGRAHAYANA 1929

WP(C).No. 27161 of 2004(H)

PETITIONER:

K.S.SUBHASH, UD CLERK,
OFFICE OF THE SUB REGISTRAR,
CHEMANCHERI, KOZHIKODE.

BY ADV. SRI.B.RAGUNATHAN
SRI.V.V.MATHEW
SRI.G.GOPALAKRISHNA PILLAI

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
VIGILANCE DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.

2. INSPECTOR OF GENERAL OF REGISTRATION,
KERALA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-695 001.

3. SRI.M.M.YUSUF, HEAD CLERK,
OFFICE OF THE SUB REGISTRAR,
VADAKARA, KOZHIKODE-673 101.

BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT.K.R.DEEPA.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 07/12/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

A P P E N D I X

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS :

EXT.P1 : TRUE COPY OF THE GO DT. 1.1.2003.

EXT.P2 : TRUE COPY OF THE MEMO OF CHARGES NO.E.11-10116/03/20(1) DT. 6.5.03/4.8.03 ISSUED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

EXT.P3 : TRUE COPY OF THE WRITTEN STATEMENT OF DEFENCE DT. 30.8.2003.

EXT.P4 : TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DT. 3.4.2004.

EXT.P5 : TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT DT. 17.5.04 IN W.P.C.NO.13286/04.

EXT.P6 TRUE COPY OF GO(RT) NO.185/2004/VIG. DT. 10.6.2004.

EXT.P7 TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER DT. 15.6.2004 OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

EXT.P8 TRUE COPY OF THE ORDER NO.E4-3700/2004 DT. 17.7.2004 OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

EXT.P9 TRUE COPY OF THE REPRESENTATION DT. 27.7.2004 TO THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

EXT.P10 TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER NO.E10/16520/04 DT. 18.8.2004 OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT.

KURIAN JOSEPH J.

Writ Petition (Civil) No.27161 of 2004

Dated 7th December, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

Petitioner approached this court aggrieved by the disciplinary proceedings initiated against him virtually standing in the way of his promotion. Learned Government Pleader submits that during the pendency of the writ petition, disciplinary proceedings have been finalised and a punishment of barring of one increment with cumulative effect has been imposed. It is also submitted that he was promoted in the meanwhile, as Sub Registrar. What remains is only the regularisation of the suspension period. The writ petition is hence disposed of directing the second respondent/competent authority to pass appropriate orders on the regularization of the suspension period of the petitioner, within three months from the date of production of a copy of the judgment.

KURIAN JOSEPH, JUDGE.

tgs